



Islamic Republic of Afghanistan Independent Election Commission

Decision of Independent Election Commission

Decision No:

1393-48

Subject:

1. The review result of (405) PSs which were presented for review due to technical problems
2. Claims of Islahat&Hamgarayee campaign office and Tahawul&Tadawum campaign office on existence of similar handwriting and signatures in a number of result sheets

Responsible Authority:

Independent Election Commission
Secretariat

Date and Location of Meeting:

September 14, 2014, IEC HQ

Present Members:

Dr. Ahmad Yousuf Nuristani, Mr. Abdul Rahman Hotaki, Ms. Gulalay Asakzai, Mr. Sareer Ahmad Barmak, Prof. Mohammad Hussain Gurziwani, Mr. Muhammad Aziz Bakhtiari, Jurist Sulaiman Hamid, Ms. Laila Ahrari, and Ms. Sharifa Zarmati Wardak

Under Article 13 of the Structural Law of the IEC and IECC and Article 58 of the Election Law and according to the IEC Decisions 33 and 37, the Independent Election Commission decided as follows:

1. The IEC decided about the (405) PSs which were presented for review due to technical problems as the following:
 - a) (44) PSs that as a result of audit were normal and had no problem shall be processed.
 - b) Recount of votes of (85) PSs is approved.

c) All votes of (276) PSs shall be invalidated due to the following reasons:

- (2)PSs due to blank ness or non-existence of voters list or 33% problem in ballot papers
- (6) PSs due to non-existence of ballot papers or having problems in all ballots inside the ballot box
- (۲۹) PSs which had already been invalidated by the IEC.
- (12) PSs due to zero vote.
- (227) PSs due to not receiving ballot boxes from provincial offices or lack of clarity in PSs and PCs numbers or gatheringvotes of several PSs and/or PCs in one ballot box or damage of sensitive materials inside the boxes.

2. Relating to the campaign offices' claims on existence of similar handwriting and signature on the result sheets including (2929) copies of result sheets (2200 result sheets from Islahat&Hamgarayee Team and 729 result sheets from Tahawul&Tadawum Team), the IECdecided as the following four categories:

- 1) (1246)PSs are not included in the claims on similar handwriting and signatures; therefore, they have not been reviewed.
- 2) (78)PSs, based on existence of similar handwriting and signature in the resultsheets of various PCs that showed clear evidence of fraud,shall be invalidated.
- 3) Claims on existence of similar handwritingand signaturein(112)PSs were rejected because there found no similar handwriting and signature in various PCs or existence of such similarities have been justified.
- 4) Claims relating to (1493) PSs from (46) districts in (17) provinces were rejected because there were no similar signatures in various PCs.

In agreement with the Decision	Name of Members	In opposition to the Decision
	Dr. Ahmad YousufNuristani	
	Mr. Abdul Rahman Hotaki	
	Ms. GulalayAsakzai	
	Mr. Sareer Ahmad Barmak	
	Prof. Mohammad Hussain Gurziwani	
	Mr. Muhammad Aziz Bakhtiari	
	Jurist Sulaiman Hamid	
	Ms. Laila Ahrari	
	Ms. SharifaZarmatiWardak	