



Islamic Republic of Afghanistan Independent Election Commission

Decision of Independent Election Commission

Decision No:	1393-44
Subject:	Audit results of 2000 polling stations.
Responsible Authority:	Independent Election Commission Secretariat
Date and Location of Meeting:	04September 2014, IEC Head Quarter
Members Present:	Dr. Ahmad Yousuf Nuristani, Mr. Abdul Rahman Hotaky, Mrs. Gulaly Asakzy, Mr. Sareer Ahmad Barmak, Mr. Mohammad Husain Gurziwani, Mr. Mohammad Aziz Bakhtiary, Jurist Sulaiman Hamid, Mrs. Laila Ahrari and Mrs. Sharifa Zurmati

Based on Article (13) of structural law of IEC and IECC and Article (58) of Electoral Law and according to IEC decisions (33 & 37) relevant to audit results of 2000 polling stations in four categories whose list and characteristics are attached to this decision; the Independent Election Commission decided that:

1. 233 polling stations (out of 2000) shall be subjected to reinvestigation due to lack of clarity in check lists, in which 87 polling stations are related to special scrutiny and 146 are related to normal audit.
2. Total 562 polling stations were normal and with no problems were found during audit process, shall be processed.
3. Recount of 1133 polling stations in which 789 polling station are related to special scrutiny and 344 are related to normal audit; is approved and shall be included to recount process.

Reasons for recount of 344 ballot boxes from normal audit:

1. 26 polling stations; due to lack of result form copy and evidence of intervention on it.
2. 85 polling stations; due to recording more than 600 votes in result form.
3. 96 polling stations; because two or more seals around the ballot box were broken or damaged and/or there were clear evidence of intervention in ballot box; or the number of two or more seals around the box were not matching to numbers registered in the seal record form inside the ballot box.
4. 3 polling stations; due to difference between original result form and its duplicate copy inside the ballot box.

5. 23 polling stations; due to unavailability of voter list, blankness of list or ten or more voter registration cards with continuous serial numbers.
6. 5 polling stations; because the ballot box was broken or damaged to the extent that make it possible to enter hand to the ballot box.
7. 106 polling stations; because at least 20 ballot papers within the ballot box were marked similarly or weren't marked according to procedure.

4. Invalidation of all votes from 72 polling stations including 24 polling stations from special scrutiny and 48 from normal audit, is approved:

A. Reasons for invalidating 48 ballot boxes from standard audit:

1. 1 polling station; because the ballot box was not of the same type as distributed by IEC for runoff elections.
2. 31 polling stations; because there wasn't any ballot paper in ballot box or all ballot papers were not stamped, or all papers weren't marked according to the procedure, or all of them were marked similarly, or all papers weren't separated from the stub.
3. 6 polling stations; because the journal of the polling station reported the use of force, evidence of fight and losing control by electoral staff on electoral materials.
4. 3 polling stations; because voter list was blank or lost and at least 33% of all ballot papers were not stamped or not marked according to procedure, or marked similarly, or ballot papers were not separated from stub.
5. 6 polling stations; because the number of two or more seals around the ballot box were different from the numbers recorded in the seal record form, or there were evidences of tampering in result form or ballot stubs.
6. 1 polling station; due to recording 10 or more numbers in voter list and at least 33% ballot papers were marked similarly or were not marked according to procedure.

B. Reasons for invalidating 24 ballot boxes from special scrutiny:

1. 11 polling stations; because of unavailability of ballot papers in ballot box, or none of the ballot papers were stamped, or all ballots were not marked according to procedure, or all ballots were marked similarly, or all ballots were not separated from stub.
2. 1 polling station; because the journal of polling station recorded use of force, evidence of fight and losing control over electoral material by the electoral staff.
3. 3 polling station; due to recording 10 or more voter registration cards in continuous serial numbers in voter list and at least 33% ballot papers were marked similarly or were not marked according procedure.
4. 4 polling stations; because voter list was lost or empty and at least 33% of all ballot papers with problems.
5. 5 polling stations; because the number of two or more seals around the ballot box were different from the numbers recorded in seal record form, or there were evidences of tampering in result forms or ballot stubs.

In Opposition to the Decision	Members' Name	In agreement to the decision
	Dr. Ahmad Yusuf Nooristani	
	Mr. Abdul Rahman Hotak	
	Mrs. GulalyAsakzy	
	Mr. Sareer Ahmad Barnak	
	Prof. Mohammad Husain Gurziwni	
	Jurist Sulaiman Hamid	
	Mrs. Laila Ahrari	
	Mrs. ShareefaZurmatiWardak	
	Mr. Mohammad Aziz Bakhtiari	