



## Islamic Republic of Afghanistan Independent Election Commission

### Decision of Independent Election Commission

<b>Decision No:</b>	1393-41
<b>Subject:</b>	Audit results of 2504 polling stations.
<b>Responsible Authority:</b>	Independent Election Commission Secretariat
<b>Date and Location of Meeting:</b>	29 August 2014, IEC Head Quarter
<b>Members Present:</b>	Mr. Abdul Rahman Hotaky, Mrs. Gulaly Asakzy, Mr. Sareer Ahmad Barmak, Mr. Mohammad Husain Gurziwani, Mr. Mohammad Aziz Bakhtiary, Jurist Sulaiman Hamid, Mrs. Laila Ahrari and Mrs. Sharifa Zurmati.

Based on Article (13) of structural law of IEC and IECC and Article (58) of Electoral Law and according to IEC decisions (33 & 37) relevant to audit results of 2504 polling stations in three categories whose list and characteristics attached to this decision; the Independent Election Commission decided as follows:

1. Total of 1807 polling stations were normal and no problems were found during audit process, shall be processed.
2. Recount of ballots from 628 polling stations as the result of audit is approved and shall be included in vote count process:
  - I. 33 polling stations; due to missing of result form or evidence of manipulation in result form.
  - II. 242 polling stations; due to recording more than 600 votes in result form.
  - III. 6 polling stations; due to difference between the original copy of result form and its duplicate copy inside the ballot box.
  - IV. 26 polling stations; due to recording more than 600 votes in result form, the voter list was missing or blank, or 10 or more VR cards were recorded in continuous serial numbers.
  - V. 2 polling stations; because the ballot box was damaged or broken or the seals were loose that it was possible to enter hand inside the box.
  - VI. 254 polling stations; because of difference between the number of voters in result form and voter list, seals around the ballot box were damaged or broken or there were

evidences of clear manipulation, or the numbers of two or more seals around the ballot box were different from the seal record form inside the ballot box.

VII. 65 polling stations; because at least 20 ballot papers were clearly marked similar; or were not marked according to procedure.

3. Invalidation of all ballots from 69 polling stations is approved due to the below reasons in six categories:

I. 2 polling station; because the ballot box was not of the same type as it was distributed by IEC for runoff elections.

II. 49 polling stations; because there were no ballot papers in ballot box, or none of the ballot papers were stamped, or all ballot papers were not marked according to the procedure, or all of them were clearly marked similar, or all ballot papers were not separated from the stub.

III. 12 polling stations; because the journal of polling station reported use of force, evidence of fight and losing control by electoral staff over electoral materials.

IV. 1 polling station; because voter list was missing or blank and at least 33% of all ballot papers were not stamped, or were not marked according to the procedure, or clearly marked similarly, or were not separated from the stub.

V. 2 polling stations; because the ballot box was damaged or broken that hand could be entered or there was evidence of tampering in results form or in ballot papers bundles.

VI. 3 polling stations; because serial number of two or more seals around the ballot box were different from the numbers recorded in seal record form and there were evidences of tampering in result form or ballot papers bundles.

In Opposition to the Decision	Members' Name	In agreement to the decision
	Dr. Ahmad Yusuf Nooristani	
	Mr. Abdul Rahman Hotak	
	Mrs. Gulaly Asakzy	
	Mr. Sareer Ahmad Barmak	
	Prof. Mohammad Husain Gurziwni	
	Jurist Sulaiman Hamid	
	Mrs. Laila Ahrari	
	Mrs. Shareefa Zurmati Wardak	
	Mr. Mohammad Aziz Bakhtiari	